



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10/814,125 10/814,194 10/814,195	4/1/04	Frostegord	EPCL: 010US EPCL: 011US EPCL: 012US
EXAMINER Lisa Cook			
ART UNIT 1641		PAPER NUMBER 11/15/06	

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Steven L. Highlander (37,642) (2) Lisa Cook (examiner)
(3) Long Le (Spe) (4)

Date of Interview 11/15/06

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: Fox filed 11/13/06 - with claim modifications

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed:

Identification of prior art discussed: Non-final actions of record. Specifically references to Borquinerio et. al., Muzya et. al., Baldo et. al., and Ostermann et. al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Specifically, applicant argued that the primary reference to Borquinerio et. al. taught away from the invention showing results different from the claimed antibody PAF measurements of record (claimed). Accordingly the reference of Borquinerio et. al. will be removed from the rejections.

Further prior art will be addressed in applicant's response + 132. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) Muzya et. al. attributed skewed results with respect to PAF. For examiner consideration.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Lisa Cook
11/15/06